CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF ORLEANS STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

198-059

**VERSUS** 

1426 (30)

CLAY L. SHAW

SECTION "C"

EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT AFTERNOON SESSION **FEBRUARY 27, 1969** 

MYS Nicholas Tadin

F O R E: THE HONORABLE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY,

JR., JUDGE, SECTION "C"

15 pages

## Dietrich & Pickett, Inc. Stemotypists

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 - 522-3111

1			INI	EX			
2	WITN	ess ' ' ' '		DIRECT	'CROSS '	REDIRECT	
3	MRS.	NICHOLAS	M. TADIN	2	8	13	
4							
5	•		·				
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12				·			
13							
14							
15							ī
16							
17							
18							
19				•		,	
20							
21							•
22							
23							
24							
25							

## AFTERNOON SESSION 1 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1969 2 AFTER THE RECESS: 3 THE COURT: 4 Are you ready to proceed, Mr. Alcock? 5 MR. ALCOCK: 6 Yes, sir. 7 ...000... 8 MRS. NICHOLAS M. TADIN, 9 after first being duly sworn by The Minute Clerk as a witness for the State in Rebuttal, was examined 11 and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. ALCOCK: 14 Would you state your full name for the record, 15 Mrs. Tadin? 16 Mrs. Matilda Tadin. 17 Mrs. Tadin, have you ever testified in a trial 18 before? 19 No, sir. 20 I ask you just to relax and try to answer the 21 questions and to speak directly into the 22 microphone if you would.

THE COURT:

23

24

25

Let me further explain, Mrs. Tadin, that if

a question is put to you either by the District Attorney or the Defense and it requires what they would call a "Yes" or "No," you have a right under the law to explain your answer, so if you wish to say yes or no and you wish to be further heard on it, to explain your answer, just put your hand up and tell them you wish to explain your answer.

## THE WITNESS:

Thank you.

## BY MR. ALCOCK:

- Q Mrs. Tadin, is your husband Nicholas Tadin?
- 14 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 15 Q Is that the gentleman that preceded you on the
- witness stand in this case?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Mrs. Tadin, where do you live now?
- 19 A New Orleans, Lurline Street.
- 20 Q You have any children, Mrs. Tadin?
- 21 A Two boys.
- 22 Q And what are their ages now?
- 23 A 20 and 14.
- Q Do either one or both of these boys have any infirmities at all?

١	A	Dearness.	
2	Q	Mrs. Tadin, in the year 1964 were either of	
3	-	your boys taking any flying lessons?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Which boy was it?	
6	<b>A</b>	The oldest one.	
j	Q	Is that the one that's 20 now?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	And from whom was he taking flying lessons?	:
10	A	Dave Ferrie.	
11	Q	Mrs. Tadin, I'm going to show you a picture	:
12		which I have identified for purposes of	
13		this trial as State-3 and ask you if you	
14		recognize the man in this picture?	
15	A	Dave Ferrie.	
16	Q	Is that the man who was giving your son	
17		instructions in flying?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	While your son was taking these lessons did you	
20		have occasion to see Dave Ferrie very	
21		often?	
22	A	I made it my business to be out there practical	<b>1</b> y
23		every afternoon at the time he took a	
24		lesson.	
25	Q.	Where would he take his lesson?	

1	A	The New Orleans Airport.
2	Q	The Lakefront Airport?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Now, Mrs. Tadin, in the summer of 1964 did you
5		have occasion to be at the Lakefront
6		Airport with your husband and your son at
7	•	one time when he was to take a lesson?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	On this occasion, Mrs. Tadin, did you have
10		occasion to see this man I am pointing to
11		here (indicating Defendant Shaw)?
12	A	Yes, I did.
13	Q	Mrs. Tadin, can you approximate when that was.
14		what month?
15	A	It was the summer of '64, the latter part of the
16		summer.
17	Q	I'm sorry, go ahead.
18	A	So I can explain myself, we were out there and
19		we were waiting for Dave to come, and he
20	•	was heading towards us and I noticed a
21		gentleman with Dave and I passed the
22		comment to my husband that it was a dis-
23		tinguished-looking man with Dave and my
24		husband said, "Oh, look, who is with Dave.
25		MR. DYMOND:

```
I object, Your Honor.
1
2
         THE COURT:
              Your husband made a reply and what did you
3
                   do as a result of what he told you?
    BY MR. ALCOCK:
5
         Who is the Dave you are referring to?
         What?
7
         Who is the Dave you were referring to?
    Q
8
         Dave Ferrie.
9
         And who is the man that you said was with Dave
10
              Ferrie, is that the man you identified
11
             just now?
12
13
    A
        Yes.
         Did you see from what direction they came?
14
          They came out the hanger and we were standing
15
    A
               in this direction and he was coming towards
16
               us from the right and we were waiting to
17
               see Dave Ferrie.
18
          Did you have occasion to speak with him?
    Q
19
          After the gentleman left, Dave Ferrie, he came
20
               towards us.
21
          Did you say anything to him?
22
    Q
          No. my husband spoke to him.
     A
23
          Did you have occasion to see where the Defendant
     0
24
               Shaw went after he left you?
 25
```

1	A	I didn't pay any attention. He walked over
2		and went off.
3	Q	Were you concentrating on anyone at this time?
•	A	I was concentrating on Dave Ferrie 'cause we
5	•	went out there to speak with him.
6	Q	Had you been out to the airport many times
7		prior to this, prior to this occasion?
8	A	Yes, yes, many times.
9	Q	Do you recall I think you testified at the
10		time that the Defendant and Ferrie were
11		coming out of the hanger, is that correct?
12	A	Yes. y.
13	Q	Do you recall how close together they were or
14		how far apart they were?
15	A	I would say Dave was just a little, about this
16		much in front and that is when I noticed
17		and my husband told me who he was.
18	Q	Who was?
19	A	Mr. Shaw.
20	0	Had you seen Mr. Shaw prior to that occasion?
21	A	No, sir, I had not.
22	Q	Did you make any comment to your husband at
23		the time you saw the Defendant Shaw?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	Q	What was that?
	•	

.

	A My husband told me who he was and	
2	MR. DYMOND:	
3	I object and I ask that the witness be	
4	instructed not to repeat what someone	
5	told her.	
6	THE WITNESS:	
7	I asked my husband.	
8	THE COURT:	
9	This is a technical legal objection and	
10	you cannot state what your husband	
11	told you but you can state what you	
12	did as a result of that.	
.* 13	THE WITNESS:	
<b>14</b>	Okay. I said "Oh, no."	
15	BY MR. ALCOCK:	
16	Q Did you say anything else?	
17	A I said "Oh, no."	
18	Q This was in response to a statement?	
19	A That my husband made and that was my response.	
20	MR. ALCOCK:	
21	I tender the witness.	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
23	BY MR. DYMOND:	
24	Q Mrs. Tadin, you say this was in the summer of	
25	'64?	

1	A	About the latter, it was warm so the latter
2		part of August, it may have been sooner
3		'cause I can't say what day or time it
4	* <u>-</u>	was.
5	Q	Did you and your husband then have occasion to
6		be out at the airport on that occasion?
7	A	Yes, we did.
8	Q	Was anyone else with you besides you two?
9	A	I think my son or he was already out there, I
10		think he had a lesson but we always made
11	•	it our point to be out there with him.
12	Q	Do you remember it was the occasion that your
13		son was out there already or did he go
14	·	with you?
15	A	I don't remember whether we went out there or 1
16		was with us.
17	Q	Now you say you saw Dave Ferrie come out of a
18		building?
19	A	Out the hanger.
20	Q	And Mr. Shaw was about this far behind him.
21	A	Dave Ferrie was in the front and he was just
22		like on the side and towards the back.
23	Q	You would not say that Mr. Shaw was 3 feet
24		behind Mr. Ferrie, would you?
25	A To	About.

1	1 Q 3 feet?	
2	2 A I am a very poor ju	dger of distance but maybe
3		
4	l l	time you saw the Defendant
5	when they came	e out that building on that
.6	6 day?	
7	7 A I saw him that day	-
8	8 Q How old was your o	lder son at this time.
9	9 Mrs. Tadin?	
10	10 A He was going to be	17 in November.
11	THE COURT:	
12	I don't think	you understand; he wanted to
13	know how	old was your son at the
14	14 present	time.
15	MR. ALCOCK:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	No, no.	
17	MR. DYMOND:	
18	18 No.	
19	THE WITNESS:	
. 20	20 At the prese	nt time he was 16 going to be
2	21 17 in N	ovember (sic).
2	BY MR. DYMOND:	
2	Q He is how old now	1?
:	A 20, he just made	20.
;	Q He made 20 this ]	past November?

1	A	This past November.
2	Q	Mrs. Tadin, are you a housewife or are you
3		employed?
4	A	I am employed part-time.
5	Q	Where do you work?
6	<b>A</b>	Home Finance.
7	Q	Home Finance Company. Do you remember how
8	•	Mr. Shaw was dressed on that occasion?
9	A	No. sir, I just remembered his hair and face
10		and I passed a remark he was a distin-
11		guished-looking man and my husband told me
12		who he was.
13	Q	You don't even remember whether he had a coat
14		on or not?
15	A	I can't say if he had a coat on but just that
16		hair and face impressed me.
17	Q	You don't remember whether he had a tie or
18		whether the shirt was open?
19	A	No, sir, I can't say that.
20	Q	Would you say the main thing you remember was
21		his hair?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	His hair.
24	A	His hair and his face, just distinguished-lookin
25	1	person and that is what I remembered about

```
him.
1
         Mrs. Tadin, do you remember about 1967 when a
2
              preliminary hearing was held on this case?
3
         Yes, I recall.
         Did you see news about that on the television?
         Yes, sir.
         And read about it in the newspapers?
7
         Yes, sir.
         Did you follow it pretty closely?
         Off and on I did.
10
         Did you see pictures of Mr. Shaw at that time
11
               in the paper and television?
12
         Yes, sir.
13
         At the time you saw those pictures did you
14
               recognize him as the person you had seen
15
             with Ferrie back in 1964?
16
    A
         Yes, sir, I did.
17
         And when did you first come forward to the
18
               District Attorney's Office and tell them
19
               about this?
20
         Today.
21
         Why didn't you do it back in 1964? (sic)
22
    A
          'Cause I didn't want to get involved and I
23
               wouldn't be hear today if my husband
24
               wouldn't have made me come.
25
```

```
1
     Q
          Your husband made you come?
          Yes, sir.
          He told you you had to come?
    A
          He told me --
          I didn't ask --
          THE COURT:
               I think she has a right to explain.
7
          THE WITNESS:
8.
               My husband called me at work and I said
                 that I didn't want to get involved
16
                    and he said he feels like it is my
11
                   duty to come, and it is my husband
12
13
                   and I have to come, and I didn't
                    want to get involved.
14
    BY MR. DYMOND:
15
         This is something you have known since 1967.
    · Q
16
         Yes.
    A
17
         MR. DYMOND:
18
              That's all. Thank you.
19
                         REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20
    BY MR. ALCOCK:
21
         Mrs. Tadin, are you telling the truth in this
    Q
22
              case?
23
         Of course I am telling the truth.
         THE COURT:
25
```

It is about one minute to 4:00 and I have been advised Gentlemen of the Jury, the State advises me in the presence of Defense Counsel that the witnesses they wish to proceed with at this moment are not in the City of New Orleans, they are from the East and are snowbound. I have been informed by Mr. Alcock, that he asked me to recess at this time to give them an opportunity to be here tomorrow morning and if for some reason they are not able to make it the case will 14 proceed and we will not delay it any further. 16

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

In connection with that matter Mr. Alcock and Mr. Dymond both advised me that they will be ready to proceed with their arguments in the case tomorrow.

If these witnesses do show we will hear them and if they don't the State will proceed with the case but we will not in fact delay it any length of time. We will have the

closing arguments to the Jury
tomorrow, and I have no idea of what
length of time they will be allowed
because I have not at this moment
set any time limit on the arguments
but we will discuss that with counsel
at a later moment, but we are going
to recess this case at this moment
until tomorrow morning.

It looks to me, Gentlemen, like
the case will be given to you tomorrow afternoon so if there is

possibly one more day and you will
have the case. You will have the
case tomorrow afternoon and that is
with agreement by both counsel for
both sides.

... At the hour of 4:05 o'clock p.m. the proceedings were recessed until 9:00 o'clock a.m. Friday, February 28, 1969. ...