FD-302 (Rev. 1-85-60)

Date November 25, 1963

Other Individuals and Organizations Involved of Interviewed

DL 89-43 EDK:BJD

Commission Exhibit No. 3112

The "Dallas Times Herald", Dallas, Texas, October 27, 1963, contained an article entitled "'Riot' Tag Denied by Demonstrator" which stated the demonstrations against United Nations Ambassador ADLAI STEVENSON were "grossly exaggerated" as having been a "near riot" according to IARNIE H. SCHNDER 5735 Gaston Avenue, the leader of 14 collegiate demonstrators. It quoted SCHMIDT as saying his group was made up of 14 college students who were all conservatives and opposed to ADLAI STEVENSON and the United Nations. He said none of his group entered the auditorium where STEVENSON spoke or was involved in any disturbances. The article referred to another article on the previous Friday in the "Dallas Times Herald" which stated a large band of demonstrators cheered, shouted and heckled United States Ambassador STEVENSON to the United Nations at the time of his speech on Thursday night (October 24, 1963) at the Dallas Memorial Auditorium. The previous article quoted a police officer has stated a student demonstrator spat on Mr. STEVENSON and caused "a near riot as a result of screaming and shouting". Mr. STEVENSON was also struck in the head by the sign of one demonstrator.

The October 27, 1963 article further quoted Mr. SCHMIDT as saying his group of demonstrators "were carrying on a protest that was no more and no less in keeping with a treasured and traditional American political activity, long utilized by factions both left and right, from labor anions to businessmen."

It further stated that SCHMIDT was asked if his group would picket President KENNEDY at the time of an expected visit to Dallas in November. SCHMIDT replied "We feel anyone has the right to picket against the President but we have too much respect for the office to picket Mr. KENNEDY in his coming visit here." 1

Mr. ALVIN T. PRECHTER, Personnel Manager, William B. Reily and Company, Inc., 640 Magazine Street, advised that a search of informant records for that company revealed that there had been no one employed who had the last name HIDELL, HYDEL, EXIDELL, or HADEL.

On	11/25/63 New Orleans,	Lou is iana	File # 1	NO 89-69
L.	SA LESTER G. DAVIS Sa John William Miller	ćjo	Date dictated	11/25/63
.,		41.6.		

This document contains notther recommendations not conclusions of \$1,11 is the property of the FBI and is lossed to your expensy; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

**Commission Exhibit No. 3113** 

683

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 3112

Date November 25, 1963

1

Mr. ENMETT CHARLES BARBE, JR., maintenance foreman, William B. Reily and Company. Inc., advised he knew no one by the name of HIDELL or name similar to that. He stated that he had never heard LEE H. OSWALD refer to anyone name HIDELL or name similar or make any mention of such a person.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date December 5, 1963

Lieutenant CARL DAY of the Dallas Police Department furnished a rifle slug which, according to his records, had come from the residence of General EDWIN A. WALKER, 4811 Turtle Creek, Dallas, Texas, on April 10, 1963, being contributed by Detective D. G. BROWN.

. \_\_\_\_\_\_The slug was identified by a cross and the word, "DAY" which Lieutenant DAY stated he had placed on the slug. He advised that Detective D. G. BROWN had been at the WALKER home and had obtained the slug from an officer, whose identity he does not know, but whose identity is known to Lieutenant CUNNINGHAM of the Forgery Bureau of the Dallas Police Department.

On	New Orleans,	Louisiana	File# NO	89-69
	C G. DAVIS Villiam Miller			11/25/63
by			Date dictated	l

This document contains nother recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is lound to your econory; it and its seatcate are not to be distributed outsite your opency.

12/2/63 of	Dallas, Texas	File #DL 157-218
by Special AgentBARI	DELL D. ODUM/gmf	Date dictated <u>12/5/63</u>

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

751