& DOCKET HO., IF AMY

SENSITIVE CASE REPORT						
(Roste in Sequence) To:	District Director Datroit District ARC-Cincinnati Regional Office Director, Intolligence Division National Office, Washington, D. C.	orderned in an original and seven copies.				
1. HAME OF CASE ON IDENTIFICATION OF SITUATION  EARL R. RU3Y  29925 Woodland  Southfield, Hichigan		ISTANT REGIONAL COMMISS.	& GLASS OF TAX			
		INTELLIGENCE	b TARABLE PERIOD			
		1AN - 3 3524 3	G. AMBUHY OF YAX			

SansATI, CSP

Developing Information

3. REASONS FOR BENSITIVITY

& PRINCIPAL ISSUES INVOLVED

The tampayer is a brother of Jack Ruhy, Dallan, Taxas, who allegedly assessinated Lee Harvey Osweld, the alleged assessin of President John F. Kennedy.

ON TAKEN OR PROPOSED

I have completed on analysis of long distant telephone calls originating from the Earl Ruby residence in Southfield, Hichigan and from the Earl Ruby and George Farcus partnership, Cobo Cleeners, Detroit, Michigan. The period covered was from October 2%, 1981 to December 2, 1983. A copy of the analysis is enclosed with this report for reference purposes.

The analysis was compiled from information furnished by the Michigan Bell Telephone Company and from available records of the Internal Revenue Service, Detroit, Michigan.

Each te\_ephono number called was scheduled as to origin, duration and time.

Stifes Despera	Special beaut	Without Mich	DATE 1.9.1964
REVIEWED (Displey Dispersor's Signature)	DETROTE		1-8-196K
RÉVIENED (ARC's Signature)	TITLE		DATE
U.S. TREASURY DEPARTMENT - INTERN	AL REVENUE SERVICE		C CHI 4 18 (1-60)

Subscribers were identified and in some instances the occupation and/or type of business was determined.

Tax returns of seventy-five of the one hundred and twenty Michigan persons called were checked. Corporate returns were not included. They failed to disclose any personal transactions with Earl Ruby. However, core of the returns disclosed deductions for interest payments to J. L. Hudsen Company on charge accounts. Some showed employment by Mussen's; by Tarl Ruby and George Marcus, d/b/a Cobe Cleaners; or by firms handling cleaners supplies or equipment. The balance primarily disclosed various professional or skilled conventions.

Internal Revenue Agent R. Anstott determined that Cobe Cleoners has an exclusive contract with J. L. Budson Company, Detroit, Michigan, to furnish custom cleaning services for their customers. Budson'n, being one of the largest department stores in the midwest, furnishes this service to nearly all of the areas called by Cobe Cleaners.

In view of the Cobo - Hudson business relationship, coupled with the information reported on the available tax returns, it appears that the Michigan calls originating from Cobo Cleaners were in connection with that business,

In my last report, dated December 11, 1963, I indicated that I would attempt to determine the following individuals background and/or relationship with Earl Ruby:

- 1. Harry C. Futterman 7207 E. Prairie Lincolnwood, Skokie, Illinois
- 2. Oscar A. Ruby 58 Lakeshore Drive South Haven, Michigan 5638 N. Bernerd Chicago 45, Illinois

With the exception of checking the tax filing record of Oscar A. Ruby in the Detroit district where no record was disclosed, I made no request for any collecteal investigations in this metter. It is my understanding that I am not to initiate any third party inquiries relative to this case.

The Movember 1963 billing from Cobo Cloaners (UN.3-0400), discloses that four telephone calls were made to Dallas, Texas. The calls originated in Chicago and were charged to the Cobo Cleaners number.

Data	Number Called	Type of Call	Duration	Subscriber
11-24-63 11-29-63	LA.6-6258 LA.6-6253	Credit Card Credit Card	10 Minutes 3 Minutes	Eva L. Crent 3929 Rawlins, Apt.1 (Ruby's sister)
11-29-63	RI.6-3324	Credit Card	3 Minutes	Colley Sullivan 1934 Hain (Attorney)
11-30-63	LA.8-4775	Credit Card	17 Minutes	Oscar A. Robinson 4411 Sucna Vista Apt. 102

Occar A. Robinson is unidentified. However, he and Oscar A. Ruby from South Haven, Michigan and Chicago, Illinois, may be the sems person. It is suggested that a check on this person be considered, since there appears to be no business connection with the taxpayor's nevelty or cleaning businesses.

According to Revenue Agont R. Anntott, the following persons or companies also de not eppear to have any business connection with Earl Ruby's businesses, but have received calls and may be of some significance:

- James Weich Company (Candy Manufacturer) Cambridge, Massachusetts (praviously reported)
- 2. Dominico Scorta 1205 W. Crand Chicago, Illinois
- Ansan Tool & Hfg. Co. (Auto Accessories) 4750 N. Ronald Chicago, Illinois Owners: Anesi and Mario Umberto
- Arvin Futterman 1637 W. Fargo Chicago, Illinois
- Kirk Bubul 2331 Sheridan Road Fraternity House Northwestern University Chicago, Illinois

- Elliot Schwortz
   20026 39th Avenue
   New York, N. Y. (Gueens)
- 7. Triangle Mfg. Company 714 Divition Etreat Oahkosh, Wisconsin (Previously reported)
- 8. Francis Lynch 109 South Land Drive Rochester, New York
- 9. Herry C. Futterman 720 E. Frairia Lincolnwood, Skokie, Illinois (previously reported)

In view of the nature of this case, the relaction of the above parties for suggested background investigations and importance, is discretionary.

Internal Revenue Agent R. Anatett has completed checking the books and records of the taxpayer's nevelty and dry closning businesses. With the exception of the telegram to Havama, Cuba on April 1, 1962, his examination has disclosed no other leads.

Up to January 6, 1964, no attempt was made by Agent Ametett to question Earl Ruby about the Havana telegram or the various long distance calls in 1962; and 1963. First, because his audit concerns only the years 1961 and 1962; and secondly, any questions on this line may have disrupted the cooperative stitude and caused an unnecessary delay.

However, on January 6, 1964, an opportunity cross for Agent Anstatt to question Earl Ruby about cortain partnership deductions, which had the appearance of possible personal expenses. Included among the questionable items were various long distance toll charges in 1982. Concerning these, the taxpayer made the following comments:

## Area Called

## Taxpayer's Comments

Evanston, Illinois

"That's where my partner used to live. he seved about six months ago to Glen-

Rochester, New York

"That's where our superintendent used to live and work."

- 3 -

Area Called Tampayer's Comments "That's a telerram isn't it? -Havans, Cuba ---- I don't remember." Van Nuys, California "I den't recember." Ansoniader, Connecticut "We were thinking of buying some key chains, -- Cook Mfg. I think, but we ended up buying calendars." Skokie, Illinois "That's where my family lived until finally moving to Dotroit." "Suppliors" Chicago Area "Suppliers - We try and buy all our Ohio Area supplies direct from the manufacturers rather than through a distributor." "I don't remember." Broken Arrow, Oklahoma "my partner's other plant." Marion, Indiana "My partner's other plant." Benton Harbor, Michigan Grand Rapids, Michigan "Another plant - and a dry cleaning convention."

The interview regarding the tell charges was in the form of an informal discussion while paging through the telephone bills. Comments were offered easily and freely until the item of the Hawana telegram. After a brief pause in the convergation Agent Amstott arcelillar progressed a comment on this item. After another pause the above-mentioned comment was made.

"Suppliers"

"Our cleaning customers."

Asido for some nominal technical adjustments, the audit examination has disclosed no apparent understatements of income or other indications of fraud.

New York, New York

Detroit Suburbs

I propose no further inventigation in this case, inassuch as there is no crimi-al potential and all avenues for loads at the taxpayer's office have 'een checked. Unless informed otherwise, I propose to elose this

case to the files of the Intelligence Division, with the recommendation that Internal Revenue Agent R. Anotett close his case on a civil basis.

During this invostigation I had no personal contact with the taxpayer or his representatives. All the interviews were conducted by Agent Amstett in relation to his audit.

This is the final sensitive case report in this case.

- 6 -