

HENRY WADE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
RECORDS BUILDING
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202

May 29, 1964

Honorable J. Lee Rankin General Counsel President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy 200 Maryland Avenue NE Washington, D. C. 20002

Dear Mr. Rankin:

I have discussed with Sheriff Bill Decker the matter concerning you and members of your commission interviewing Jack Ruby in the Ballas County jail. I went through the jail with him and believe that one of the jury rooms would be an ideal place. This is a conference room where twelve jurors sit around a table and discuss their verdict in criminal cases. It is approximately 20' x 15' and would appear to me to be adequate for your interrogation. Mr. Decker and I would like to have a couple of days notice together with how many persons you feel should be in the room, counting Ruby, the stenographer and others so that we can put a table with the proper kind of chairs in it. These chairs are soft cushioned chairs but are not ideal for work at a table, and I am sure you will be satisfied with this.

I am also enclosing a copy of the psychiatric examination made by Dr. Joslyn West of the University of Oklahoma who came here at the instance of the defense counsel and a copy of the report made by Dr. Stubblefield whom Judge Brown asked to examine Ruby after the defense had filed an affidavit of his present insanity.

Ruby did a little damage in the jail last night, breaking his reading glasses and also throwing a cuspidor at one of the electric light bulbs and breaking it, I am told by Sheriff Decker. We all realize he has deteriorated some physically and possibly mentally also since the verdict but I believe Decker and I agree that most of this is an

Commission Exhibit No. 2785

Honorable J. Lee Rankin May 29, 1964 Page 2

act that he is putting on.

I look forward to seeing you at 9:00 a.m. on June the 8th. Sincerely yours,

HENRY WADE DISTRICT ATTORNEY DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

HW:sc

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785—Continued

5323 Harry Hines Boulevard Dailas, Texas 75235 May 15, 1964

Honorable Joe B. Brown Judge, Criminal District Court Number Three Records Annex Building Dallas, Toxas

Dear Judge Brown;

At your request I have evaluated Jack Ruby in the Dallac County Jail on Thursday, April 30; Friday, May 11, and Monday, May 11, 1964. You had indicated that his defense counsel had raised questions about Hr. Ruby's santly and you requested my professional opinion about Hr. Ruby's current mental state, in order to evaluate the indications for a formal santly hearing.

As you know, the question of insanity is a legal matter, and I assume that my task is to provide you with medical and psychiatric information which might prove to be useful to you in your decision. I am assuming that the issues involved here are very similar to the problem of pre-trial evaluation of mental competency. Although the laws and various rulings in this matter are somewhat vague, I am assuming that you are interested in attempting to answer those questions:

- whether or not the defendant is insane presently?
 whether or not the defendant understands the crinc for which he was convicted and the punishment which he received?
- whether or not the defendant is competent to assist properly and rationally his counsel in the preparation and presentation of appeal?

As one example of a pre-trial sanity ruling in Dusky vc. U.S. 352 U.S. 788 (1960) the Court stated "that it is not enough for the district judge to find 'the defendant (is) oriented to time and place and (has) some recollection of events' but that the test must be whether he has sufficient present ability to consult with his lawyer with a reasonable degree of retional understanding - and whether he has a rational as well as factual understanding of the preceduring against his."

There are two basic questions - the meaning of inserin the current legal situation, and what does assist counsermean? Regretfully, there is a common tendency to equate maychosis with insanity and with incapacity to cooperate with

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785—Continued

. 2 .

counsel. In my opinion, not all persons who show evidence of paychotic thought processes should be called insane or incompetent mentally for legal purposes. Just as it is possible for a person to be same legally at the time of a trial, and yet insane at the time of a trial, and yet insene at the time of a trial and yet insene at the time of a trial and to develop such a disturbed mental state that he becomes insane subsequent to the trial. In some situations, it seems to me, counsel to could continue to appeal without the cooperation or participation of the defendant. To assist counsel the defendant should have reality-oriented accuracy in memory, judgment and thinking, if his participation in the appeal is required.

Usually, one discusses psychiatric observations from the francwork of the so-called "M'Naghten Rule"-does the defendant have the ability to understand the nature of the event, to know that it was wrong, and to have the capacity to distinguish between right and wrong. This rule does not seen to be of much value in this post-trial situation currently re Mr. Jack Ruby, in my opinion.

According to Smith, 25 N.M., 48, 59, 176 ? 819, 823, after a sontence of death, the test of insonity suggested is "whether or not the prisoner has not from the defects of his faculties, sufficient intelligence to understand the proceedings against him, what he light tried for, the purpose of his punishment unjust or unlawful, and the intelligence requisite to convey such information to his actorneys or to the court." Psychiatric observations within this legal framework seem to me to be pertained to Mr. Ruby's current

I would like to submit the following medical and psychiatric observations on Mr. Ruby for your consideration, based on my examinations, including the one on May 11, 1964,

- Physical health Mr. Ruby reported that he
 "has lost weight," "has not been exercising regularly." Ke
 appeared pale, weak, tremulous, extremely tense, and moderately depressed. However, he seemed to be improved slightly
 in this most recent interview.
- 2. Psychiatric status In each interview Mr. Ruby was elert, friendly, and cooperative. He knew the day, date, month, and recognized and greeted this evaluator. Me expressed some distrust of his attorneys, both the former ones and the present ones. He claimed that none of them hack

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785—Continued

. 3 -

visited him in more than one week (this was not confirmed by Officer Bowlin, who stated that Hr. Ruby's attorneys had visited him during that time.) This observation is an traction one, since Mr. Ruby gives the impression of being very precise about his memory of events at the time of the crime and about discussions of the lawyer's trial strategy.

- 3. Of course, an important question remains, a most difficult one namely, is Mr. Ruby malingering? In my opinion, Mr. Ruby has not been malingering during my three interviews with him.
- 4. Interviewed Officer Bowlin, who is assigned in the cell block where Mr. Ruby resides currently. According to the officer's statement to me, Mr. Ruby has been involved in conversations with fellow prisoners, has played cards cooperatively, has been sleeping poorly, does cat better than he did ten days agr.
- 5. The personal fantasies of a nurderer typically involve a period of personal shock, followed by psychological detachment, and then often a gradual and subtle identification with the dead victim. This is illustrated very clearly in Nt. Ruby's belief that he was convicted for "the nurder of President Kennedy and Policeman Tippit." The extent of his regressive behavior is revealed by his basic mistrust and inconsistent attitudes toward his attorneys. This heightenistrust of them must be related to early life factors, single there is some evidence of moderately severe emotional problem, his chidhood, and it is related probably to his actual experience with his attorneys, since their defense of him winsuccessful. He remains inconsistent in his comments about them praises them, then expresses doubts about them, wonders if he "should change attorneys again."
- 6. On Monday, May 11, 1964, in my evaluation of Mr. Ruby, he was quite willing to discuss the Osunid nuruhis rice in his own defense, and his perception of the "trancal twist of fate," that he describes as follows "I killed Oswald so Mrs. Kennedy would not have to came to Dallas and testify. I loved and admired President Kenned that two what 'they' think 'They' think I knew Oswald, that it was a part of some plot. It's not true. I want to take a polygraph test to prove that I did not know Oswald, that I was not involved in killing President Kennedy. After that I don't Care what happens to me."
 - I asked Mr. Ruby the following specific questions:
- 1. What are the current legal proceedings that are being requested by your counsel?

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785-Continued

-4-

Answer: "I don't know." Later he stated "A sanity trial - I don't want that - I don't want to be sent off to a hospital." Still later - "maybe I should go to a hospital."

- 2. What were you tried for?
- $\frac{\Lambda nswer:}{I}$ "For the assassination of Kennedy no, I mean, the murder of Oswald I'm not sure."
 - 3. Why are you being punished?
- plot." Answer: "because 'they' think I was a part of a
 - 4. Who are the people that you refer to as 'they'?
- Jury. The people who want to burn the Jews."
 - 5. What impending fate is in store for you?

Answer: "I will be executed."

- 6. Do you feel that you are cooperating and can cooperate with your defense counsel, for example, in trying to proceed with your appeal, or in finding new evidence?
- Answer: "I don't know. I want to tell the truth. I want a polygraph Belli wouldn't let me take it."
- It is my medical and psychiatric opinion that Nr. Jack Ruby has had and has now an acute psychiatric illness, with paranoid and depressive features. In my opinion, he is reacting to the stress of the trial, the sentence of death, the relative isolation and lack of physical activity, and now is improving gradually in his ability to evaluate the reality of his situation. Rowernet and regression, similar to there that have been described by numerous authors in prisoners under sentence of death (for example, Duffy, Clinton and Jannings, Dean, The San Quentin Story, Curris publishing

Summary

In my opinion, Mr. Ruby is mentally ill, with symptoms if moderate depression, delusions of persecution, moderate teach treme suspicion and distruct of several individuals, especially

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785-Continued

-5-

his present attorneys. In my opinion, these observations should be taken into consideration in your decision about the request for a formal sanity hearing. In my opinion, there are no psychiatric contraindications for you to question "Ir. Ruby directly, or for you to permit the defense to put him on the stand for directly testimony about the sanity issue. Ideally, in my opinion, Mr. Ruby should be under the supervision of a psychiatrist, preferably in a psychiatric hospital, until the prisoner recovers sufficiently to proceed with his appeal with rational understanding

I will be available for testimony and further consultation, when it seems indicated to you.

Sincerely

R.L. Stubblefield, M.D.

RLS:rh

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2785—Continued



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

200 Mercantile Continental Building 1810 Commerce Street Dallag. Texas

July 16, 1964

Mr. Clayton Fowler Attorney at Law 706 Kmin Street Dallas, Texas

Dear Mr. Fowler:

RE: JACK L. RUBY

This will confirm my telephone conversation with you and Mr. Sol Dann on July 15, 1964, concerning the interest of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy in affording a polygraph examination to Mr. Ruby. You adviced that you and your associates and various members of Mr. Ruby's family desired for ms to convey to the Commission information which would be supplied by Mr. Dann.

Subsequently, Mr. Dann advised, by telephone, that the family had consulted with Dector Emmunul Tanay, 861 Fisher Building, Detroit 2, Michigan, who had node on examination of Ruby in the past, and that you, Mr. Dann, and the family would be guided by the judgment of Doctor Tanay. Mr. Dann stated that at that time Doctor Tanay has adviced a polygraph examination would seriously affect Ruby's health, that the Doctor was of the opinion it was highly questionable whether such a test would be of any value, in view of Ruby's present mental condition. Mr. Dann stated, therefore, he would not be in a position to allow Ruby to have a test at this time, and that he further desired to have conveyed to the Commission the following conditions under which the tests might be given in the future, if the above matters are ever received:

Jice: Mr. Sol Dann 1820 David Stoot Building Detroit 28, Michigan

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 2786