Statement by Secretariat of Fourth International

Moscow-Peking Dispute Enters a New Stage

[The following is the text of a statement on the Sino-Soviet dis-pute adopted by the United Sec-retariat of the Fourth Internation-al on July 25, 1963.]

The interruption of the "ideo-logical conference" which was held in Moscow between the represen-tatives of the leaders of the Com-muniat Party of the Soviet Union and of the Chinese Communist Party comes a new phase in the and of the Chinese Communist Party opens a new phase in the Sino-Soviet rift and in the crisis of Stallniam. The new phase became clearer from the publication on June 14, 1983, of the "25 Foints" concerning the general line of the international communist movement issued by the Central Committee of the CCF and the "Open Letter" in reply given by the Central Committee of the CCF un month later.

The Fourth International the

the transformer of the control of th

nese Communist Party of "acting as real Trotkyista". It also accuses the Chinese representatives in Ceylon of being in "close contact with the faction of Edmund Samarakoddy, which is an instructuration of the Chinese Communist Fourth International (Trotkyists)," and states that the Trotkyists Fourth International Hiss sent an Open Letter to the Chinese Communist Party "completely approving Pacture of the Chinese Communist Party "completely approving Pacture of the Chinese Communist Party "completely approving Pacture of the Fourth International Action of the Party "completely approving Pacture of the Reunification Congress of the Fourth International, held in Italy from June 21 to June 28, and just published in a special issue of the magnitude Querifieme we aummerice the ideas averaged in that document, and clarify our stand on the essential problems posed both in the "35 Points" of the CPS and the "Open Letter" of the CPSU.

The Fourth International is of the opinion that the discussion initiated in the world communist movement as a result of the Sino-Soviet rift is a healthy development. Far from "weekening the mount of the sinon state of the sinon state of the public debate on all the basic problems and the strategy of the world socialist revolution can only contribute to ideological and populated leaffectation in the working-class movement and the anti-mortalist movement of the colonial countries, and thereby strengthen the struggles of all the anti-capitalist and anti-imperialist forces in the world.

in the world. .

It is indeed a step forward that

Moscow Peking

The Meaning

Of the Great Debate By William F. Warde

The first comprehensive appraisal of the Sino-Soviet dispute from a Marxist standpoint

50 cents

PIONEER PUBLISHERS 116 University Place New York 3, N. Y.

the discussion is now finally tak-ing place out in the open, and that he ridiculous spectacle of Ehru-shchev concentrating his attacks on Albania and Mao directing his ire against Yugoslavia is finally over, when the main debate for years was between the CCP and the CPSU.

years was between the CCP and the CPSU.

Public discussions on ideologism.

Public discussions on ideologism.

Public discussions on ideologism.

Public discussions on ideologism.

Inference within the world revolution or additional properties. The properties of t

ved questions con discussions involved questions con-cerning the tactics of mass parties in Germany, Italy, France and Czechoslovakia, and also questions concerning many tactical problems posed in the process of the build-ing of the Soviet State.

Nothing to Fear

If the international communist movement could conduct such public discussions when it was re-latively weak and when the first Soviet State, encircled by enemies, was involved in a civil war, with its sconomy nearly broken down, the sound of the sound of the sound has nothing to fear from such dis-cussions when it is immensely has nothing to fear from such dis-cussions when it is immensely stronger and when the Soviet Union is the second industrial power in the world, surrounded by workers' states both in Europe and in Asla. Moreover, the world relationship of forces has deci-sively changed at the expense of imperialism, in favor of the anti-capitalist forces.

imperiation, in lawer of the anticapitalist forces.

The argument that such discusstions help the imperialists to know
what is going on in the socialist
camp is not valid. The bourgeois
press has kept itself 'informed'
for many years, Imperialist powers
and the colonial bourgeoiste have
reely speculated on the extent of
the rift and tried to profit from it.
The only force misied was the international working class which
was prevented from intervening
in the debate. Once again it has
been proved that the methods of
"secret diplomacy" within the
working-class movement can only
help imperialism and capitalism.
A clear distinction should be

working-class movement can only help imperialism and capitalism. A clear distinction should be drawn between a discussion on the problems of strategy and tactics of the international working class and revolutionary movement on the one hand, and the problems of strategy and tactics of the international working class and revolutionary movement on the one hand, and the problems of the state of the problems of the working class and revolutionary movement of the working of the problems of the working of the problems of the working of the working state in the among the workers states in the smooth of the workers states in the smooth of the workers and the problems of the workers and the problems of the state of the relations among workers states in the fields. In order to ensure this, it is necessary to establish the relations among workers states striction among workers states the relations among workers states striction among workers states in the indication of the workers workers workers and the worker workers and the workers workers and the workers workers and the workers workers and the workers workers and the worker workers and t



HOW TO CONTAIN HIM? A basic dividing issue between Peking and Moscow is how best to hold back Washington's war drive. Chinese fear Khrushchev would make deal with Kennedy at their

terrels of the Soviet bureaucracy which had unursed power in the Soviet Union. Serville submission of the leadership of all Commists Parties to the Soviet bureaucracy was likewise introduced in the world movement by Stain. We call upon all communists, and particularly the communists of China who say that they are fight munist Parties, and the communists of the Soviet Union who claim that they are "liquidating the consequences of the cult of Stailin's personality," to eradicate these bureaucratic methods once and for all from the international these bureaucratic methods once and for all from the international

ommunist movement.

Do not hide differences from our militants and the working

your milliants and the working class!

Do not replace ideological discussion by secret diplomacy!

Fight-for-an-interestational continuation of the continuation of th

п The Khrushchev leadership endeavors to cloud the issues by pretending that the Chinese communists "desire a nuclear war," or "are indifferent to the danger of a nuclear world war breaking out." This is nothing but pure and simple slander, objectively helping the anti-Chinese and anti-communist propaganda of American imperialism.

ism. It is true that the Chinese CP in some of its old documents while supporting the Soviet Union in thight for the destruction and banning of nuclear weapons, which constitute a greet danger to the working class of the words, one-times used formulas which implied an understitation of the destructive power of these weapons. When the CPB's "Open Letter" in reply to the CCP": "25 Points' in reply to the CCP": "25 Points' continues to array on the assumpin reply to the CCPs "35 Points" continues to argue on the assumption that the CCP would be "in-flower or that the Chinese even wanted to unleash such a war, it is clear that the Soviet lendership deliberately distorts and falsifies the CCP's positions clearly stated in points of the CCP's document of the C

ment. In reality the discussion in the world revolutionary movement does not and cannot enter around the "advisability or no?" of unsahing the nuclear war, for no one outside a handful of madmen can defend such a suicidal proposal inside the internstional

working-class movement. Nor does the discussion center around the destructive potential of a nuclear war. This again is not a problem of the state of the SOUTH STATES (neither of the Soute Union nor of the Chinese Peoples Republic), BUT OT THE FOLICIES OF WORKERS STATES (neither OT THE POLICIES OF THE COMMUNIST ARTIES AND MENT IN THE COLONIES.

Nowhere in their "25 Points" have the Chinese asked the Soviet state to initiate an armed intervention in the anti-imperialist struggles of the colonial people. What is the Communication of the colonial countries should not use the siogan of "peaceful co-existence" between states as an excuse for "peaceful co-existence" with their own bourpeoiste and as revolutionary strategy and tarties in favor of reformist and revision in success for "peaceful co-existence" between states as an excuse for "peaceful co-existence" with their own bourpeoiste and as revolutionary strategy and tarties in favor of reformist and revision in success for "peaceful co-existence" between states as an excuse for "peaceful co-existence" betwe

Armed Struggle

The Chinese are absolutely right in drawing the attention of the communist inovement to the well-known fact that all victorious revknown fact that all victorious rev-olutions so far (not only in the pre-nuclear era, but also since the development of nuclear weapons) had to be fought with arms for the overthrow of the enemy as was demonstrated by the Viet-namese, the Cuban, and the Al-zerian revolutions.

It is a monstrous clander, therefore, to identify revolutionists who state these undentable truths with people wanting to provoke a nuclear war. On the contrary, the Chinese are generally justified, when they state that only the successful — including, if necessary, armed — struggless of the successful — including, if necessary, structure — struggless of the ism, and successful proletarian revolutions in the imperialist countries can, in the final analysis, disarm world imperialism, destroy nuclear weapons and guarantee definits and lasting peace for mankind.

Insumuch as the Chinese

mankind.

Inamunich as the Chinese Communists more or less advocate the them against the right-wing opportunism of the Khrushchev tendency on the question of world revolution. The Chinese now share the Trotskyick criticism of the extreme right-wing degeneration of some CPs, i.e., the Dangle leaders of the contract of Inasmuch as the Chinese Com-

Algerian way: the Italian Communist Party's revisionals theory that a capitalist society can be transformed into a socialist society of "structural reforms" by parliamentary means, without having to overthrow the bourgeois state and without the conquest of power by workers and poor peasants. To that extent we support their general. We question at the same time the consistency of the CCP position when Peking maintains silence over the Indonesian CP's opportunist support to Sukarno's bourgeois regime.

On the other hand, when the "35 Feints" of the CCP content of the CCP

Non-Marxist View

Non-Marxist View

The CCP is correct when it refuses to attribute too much Importance to the role of a single individual and refuses to accept individual and refuses to accept the result of the refuse of the result of the refuse of the result of the resu

such. The crimes committed against the entire old-guard teadership of the Soviet Communist Party, the majority of whom were physically liquidated by Stalin; the crimes committed against the international working cleans by the Stalinist leadership, which tried to salodage and prevent revolution-associates and prevent revolutions are very real and very concrete, And these crimes live today in the consciousness of millions of communists, revolutionary workers munists, revolutionary

And these crimes live today in the consciousness of millions of communists, revolutionary workers can be a supported by the consciousness of millions of communists, revolutionary workers showe all in the Soviet Union and in the workers' state of Europe. When we criticize Khruschiev's policies on these problems we must say; these crimes were not the personal responsibility of Stationary of the control of the control of the control of the control of the crime can be explained in alone but were the collective responsibility of the cPSU of that period. These crimes can be explained in a Marzist way only five see them of a Surceaucratic caste which had usurped the power of the workers and poor peasants and had suppressed Soviet democracy as a real instrument of probletarian power. In order to prevent a revival of such crimes, it is not enough to denounce Statin as a period in the position of the power of the control of the cont

(Continued on Page 4)

... Moscow-Peking Rift Enters New Stage

(Continued from Page 5)

maximum" (maximum income of a party member in administration) be not higher than the average income of skilled workers, as under Lenin. Above all it is necessary to entrust the workers with real power in the factories by establishing workers self-management through workers' councils.

Such a criticism of Khrushchev would be very welcome and very popular among the communists and workers in the Soviet Union and other workers' states. The CPSU's Open Letter correctly states that the mass of the Soviet people certainly support de-Stal-inization. Any attempt by the Chinese to fight de-Stalinization will only cut them off completely from the rank-and-file workers and communists in all workers' states.

The Fourth International can under no circumstances support the position of the Chinese CP on de-Stalinization. We seek to develop our criticism of the Khrushchev leadership in the opposite

How Cuba Uprooted

Race Discrimination

By Harry Ring

Pioneer Publishers

116 University Pla

New York 3, N. Y.

16 pages

15 cents

direction. We firmly maintain that only a political revolution will restore real Soviet power in the Soviet Union. The liquidation of the abuses and privileges of the bureaucracy will immensely strengthen the Soviet Union and international communism.

We also reject the Chinese campaign against the Yugoslav communists and their characterization of the Yugoslav state as a capitalist state. The Chinese are correct in their denunciation of the extreme right-wing deviation of Yugoslavia in her foreign policy. But the nature of the state in Yugoslavia as elsewhere is determined by the property relations. The property relations in Yugoslavia are those of a workers' state as much if not more so than in 1948 when the Chinese CP also considered Yugoslavia a "socialist country."

The Chinese communists are, of course, justified in their denunciation of Khrushchev's non-Marxist theory of "the state of the people" as a substitute for the dictatorship of the proletariat in the Soviet Union. They are right in denying the validity of Khrushchev's — also Stalin's! — theory that a classless society has already been established in the Soviet Union. They point out correctly that there are still two classes [workers and peasants] in the Soviet Union, thereby exploding the theory that the building of socialism has already been accomplished in that country. But when the Chinese quote extensively from Lennin's State and Resolution in

order to prove that as long as classes exist, the state will also exist, they seem to "forget" the other dialectical part of this fundamental truth, also developed by Lenin in State and Revolution: the dictatorship of the proletariat is a "state of a special type." a

dictatorship of the proletariat is a "state of a special type," a "state which begins to wither away," because more and more state functions should be exercised collectively by the mass of the working people. The Chinnese do not seem to insist, as Lenin insisted, on the necessity of fighting again and again against bureaucratic deformation and degeneration by placing real power in the hands of the mass of the workers. Why? Perhaps because it is the Yugoslav "revisionists" who have made the greatest progress in this field? Or is it because in China itself there are powerful bureaucratic deformations and the mass of the workers or on or exercise direct state power as elaborated in State and Revolution.

TV

This in brief represents the Trotskyist position in the present "great debate" within the world communist movement,

The Trotskyists extend wholehearted but critical support to the Chinese and the left-wing tendencies within the various CP's which fight against the right-wing opportunism of their pro-Khrushchev leaderships in the colonial countries as well as in the imperialist countries.

We critically support the Chinese call for a revolutionary orientation of the CPs in the capitalist countries as the only means of effectively fighting imperialism and imperialist preparations for a nuclear war.

But contrary to the tendencies of Khrushchev and Mao the Trotskyists defend the principles of Marxism-Leninism against any attempt to revise them to suit the immediate interests of any bureaucratic group or caste, or to distort them to reflect the temporary advantages of any workers' state. We strive to develop the theory of Marxism-Leninism so that, within the great revolutionary upheaval mankind is passing through in the present epoch, it can reflect the general historical aspirations of the international working class and of mankind as a whole. We repeat, mankind's only way out of the present crisis is a successful international so-cialist revolution.

The present crisis reflects the urgent necessity for the world communist movement to come out of the morass in which Stallnism had sought to drown it in order to answer the demands of the present period. We repeat our concrete proposal to all communists throughout the world:

Forward to an international conference of all organizations owing allegiance to Marxism-Leninism!

Forward toward revival of Marxism-Leninism through workers' democracy and international debate.

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 1340—Continued