

Liberal Senators, like Hubert Humphrey, and the AFL-CIO, propose instead an individual income tax cut giving emphasis to lower bracket rate reductions. Most arguments on both sides, declare a tax cut is desirable to prime the stagnant economy and forestall a threatening recession. The business idea is that with more after-tax profits there will be more investment, stimulating the capital goods industry which are the most stagnant. The liberal argument is that there is already overcapacity, what is needed is to raise consumer purchasing power to stimulate production.

*
THE PRESIDENT echoes the big business view. "The consumer purchasing power has been up. What has been particularly disappointing is investment, and we have to consider whether a tax cut—and if so, what kind of a tax cut would stimulate investment if that becomes our need."

An immediate Chamber of Commerce-type resolution on by (a) resolution of many Congressmen who before the elections; (b) the groups of small businessmen that they can get more in two other than in one; and (c) the idea of some of the trade unions. But the delay to January may be considered right now if the economic panic fails.

The New Business Administration argument is correct as far as it goes. The financial journals consider tax cuts are the greatest news now, and those pending are likely to produce effect capital investment will be stimulated by plenty of money-tax profits — a record amount. The critical lack is any where the opportunity to expand capacity at home or abroad.

THE TUC human
step U.S. authorities as
at 77-million Americans
poverty or deprivation
of these, 38 million
than one-fifth of the
in poverty.

1930's Roosevelt spoke
one-third of the nation
housed, ill-fed and ill-clad.
Now, after some 20 years
the cold war, . . . the
people in our country
living under the same
level.
national debt, piled up
old war, is now over
is how the American
e paying for the cold

*
U.S. Communist spoke
into great detail in
the democratic alter-
which stand before the
his country.
uper fight against the
ultra-Right and inde-
political action and the
the left in struggle
monopolies, for the
interest against the
Wall Street — this is
Communist policy, as

Agreement that costs of the
confederation's New York office
should be borne by the fund is
an example of this.

*
THE TUC believes that union

It is of our own Communists
Party.

"With its anti-monopoly coalition," says Gus Hall, "it and we believe it develops a political expression of the gap that is growing between the monopolies within their ruthless drive for profits and total disregard of the interests of the people, and national interests which emerge in this new epoch."

GUS HALL has written a pamphlet, eloquent and well presented, which may be read.

The great democratic traditions of the American people are strong allies for Canadians in their own new battle for independence and democracy.

"In order to defend the U.S. domination of our country we must always distinguish between Wall Street and the American people. Gus Hall's pamphlet is a fine lesson in politics for Canadians."