CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT

PARISH OF ORLEANS

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

198-059

vs.

1426 (30)

CLAY L. SHAW

SECTION "C"

EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1969,

B E F O R E : THE HONORABLE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY, JR.,

JUDGE, SECTION "C"

# Dietrich & Pickett, Inc. Stenotypists

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 - 522-3111 Relexance copy, ory correction.

ر. وعطل

## OPENING STATEMENT OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MR. GARRISON:

May it please the Court:

Gentlemen of the Jury, you have been imposed on, to some extent unavoidably, because you have to be sequestered, and I am about to impose on you one more time, because I have to read to you a rather lengthy opening state-The reason I have to read it is because under our law we cannot introduce evidence which has not been described, at least generally, in the opening statement, so as a result prosecuting attorneys in Louisiana have to read their opening statements in order to make sure they have touched every point of evidence that they intend to introduce. So I hope you will bear with me, and I will try and make it as painless as possible.

I am going to read it verbatim, because

I intend to give a copy to the Defense

for their convenience as soon as I

23

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

finish, and I want the copy I give them to be precisely the same as I have given you. The State of Louisiana is required by law in all criminal trials to make an opening statement to the Jury. statement is merely a blueprint of what the State intends to prove. has no probative value and should not be considered as evidence in the case. The defendant, CLAY L. SHAW, is charged

in a bill of indictment with having willfully and unlawfully conspired with DAVID W. FERRIE, LEE HARVEY OSWALD and others to murder JOHN F. KENNE DY.

The crime of ciminal conspiracy is to find in the Criminal Code of Louisiand as follows:

## "CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY

"Criminal conspiracy is the agreement or combination of two or more persons for the specific purpose of committing any crime; provided that an agreement

21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

or combination to commit a crime shall not amount to a criminal conspiracy unless, in addition to such agreement or combination, one or more of such parties does an act in furtherance of the object of the agreement or combination." As required by the definition of criminal

- conspiracy, the State will prove the following overt acts:
- A meeting of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, DAVID W. FERRIE and the defendant, CLAY L. SHAW, in the apartment of DAVID W. FERRIE at 3330 Louisiana Avenue Parkway in the City of New Orleans during the month of September, 1963.
- Discussion by OSWALD, FERRIE and the defendant, SHAW of means and methods of execution of the conspiracy with regard to assassination of JOHN F. KENNEDY -- particularly, the selection and use of rifles to be fired from multiple directions simultaneous ly to produce a triangulation of cros fire, establishing and selecting the

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

means and routes of escape from the
assassination scene, determination or
procedures and the places to be used
for some of the principals to the
conspiracy so as to establish alibis
on the date of the assassination.

- 3. A trip to the West Coast of the United States by CLAY L. SHAW during the month of November, 1963.
- 4. A trip by DAVID W. FERRIE from New.

  Orleans, Louisiana to Houston, Texas

  on the day of November 22, 1963.
- 5. LEE HARVEY OSWALD taking a rifle to the Texas Book Depository in Dallas,

  Texas on or before November 22, 1963.

  The Criminal Code defines Murder in the following terms:

### MURDER

"Murder is the killing of a human being:

"(1) When the offender has a specific intent to kill or to inflict great bodily harm;"

The evidence will show that in New Orleans in the Summer of 1963, LEE HARVEY

OSWALD was engaged in bizarre activi-

which we in effect are, there are two courses of action that can be taken by a defendant ordinarily. One is to prove that he was elsewhere at the time of the alleged

Let me say now that this would be impossible. First of all, never at any stage of these proceedings has the State seen fit to set forth any precise date upon which this meeting is supposed to have taken place, and even if they had done that, Mr. Shaw would have been called upon to go back three and a half years and account for his whereabouts at a I don't have to particular time. tell you the impossibility of doing such a task as that.

The other alternative that a defendant has is to prove that whoever said that he was at such a meeting or committed such an act lies. And, gentlemen, I stand here now and tell you that we will prove that

happening.

24

25

1

Cuba Committee in New Orleans.

other item taken by Officer RAY was a pamphlet entitled "The Truth About Cuba" published by the Fair Play for Cuba Committee, 799 Broadway New York 3, New York. In conjunction with Officer RAY's testimony, the State will offer into evidence copies of these two pieces of literature.

The evidence will further show that in June, 1963, the defendant, CLAY SHAW. was present at a party given in an apartment in the French Quarter of this City. Among the guests at the party was DAVID FERRIE, a man known as an accomplished airplane pilot. During the course of the party, the conversation among a small group of those present turned to President JOHN F. KENNEDY. In this group were DAVID FERRIE and the defendant, CLAY The comment was made that PRESIDENT KENNEDY should be killed and that the job could best be done

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

by a rifle. At this point, the defendant, CLAY SHAW, suggested that the man doing the shooting would probably be killed before he could make his escape. The defendant, after making this observation, turned to FERRIE and asked if it might not be possible to fly the gunman from the scene of the shooting to safety.

DAVID FERRIE replied that this would be possible. At this point, the conversation was turned to other subjects.

Later in June of 1963, the defendant,

CLAY SHAW, was observed speaking

to LEE HARVEY OSWALD on the lakefront in the City of New Orleans.

The defendant arrived at the lakefront in a large, black 4-door sedan,
and was there met by LEE HARVEY

OSWALD, who had walked to the meeting
point along the lakefront from a
westerly direction. The defendant
and OSWALD had a conversation which
lasted approximately fifteen minutes.

At the conclusion of this conversation, the defendant gave OSWALD what appeared to be a roll of money which he immediately placed in his In shoving the money into his pocket, OSWALD dropped several leaflets to the ground. These leaflets were yellow in color with black printing and dealt with Cuba. color, contents and size of these leaflets were identical with the "Fair Play for Cuba Committee" leaflet taken from OSWALD earlier that month on the Dumaine Street Wharf by Harbor Police Patrolman GIROD RAY. The evidence will show that on August 9, 1963, LEE HARVEY OSWALD was arrested by members of the New Orleans Police Department as a result of his becoming involved in a fight with several Cubans who were protesting his passing out "Fair Play for Cuba Committee" literature. This literature was confiscated by the New Orleans Police Department. The State

will offer into evidence three of 2 the seized items, one of which is a 3 yellow leaflet with black print entitled "Hands Off Cuba!" This is 5 the same type of leaflet taken from 6 OSWALD at the Dumaine Street Wharf 7 on June 16, 1963, and also the same as the leaflet dropped by OSWALD at the lakefront in the latter part of 10 June, 1963. The State will also 11 introduce the Bureau of Identifica-12 tion photograph taken of LEE HARVEY 13 OSWALD at the time of his booking. 14 A week later, on August 16, 1963, LEE 15 HARVEY OSWALD was again distributing 16 "Fair Play for Cuba" leaflets. 17 again the distribution was done more as if to attract attention than to actually accomplish distribution. The actual distribution lasted only 21 a few minutes, ending shortly after 22 the news media departed. The State . 23 will introduce pictures and a tele-24 vision tape of this distribution,

which took place in front of the

International Trade Mart whose Managing Director at the time was the defendant, CLAY SHAW.

The State will show further, that in the latter part of August or the early part of September, 1963, LEE HARVEY OSWALD went to Jackson, Louisiana, a small town located not far from Baton Rouge, Louisiana. While in Jackson, he talked to witnesses in reference to his getting a job at the East Louisiana State Hospital in Jackson, Louisiana and registering to vote in that parish, so as to be able to get the job. The State will introduce the witnesses who talked to LEE HARVEY OSWALD on this occasion.

u

Office on St. Helena Street. 2 the defendant, CLAY L. SHAW and DAVID W. FERRIE remained in the car, 3 LEE HARVEY OSWALD got out of the car and got in line with a group of people who were waiting to register. The State will introduce witnesses who 7 will testify that they saw the black 8 Cadillac parked in front of the Registrar's Office and who will 10 identify the defendant, CLAY L. SHAW, 11 LEE HARVEY OSWALD and DAVID W. FERRIE as the individuals in that car. 14 State will introduce a witness who 15 talked to the defendant, CLAY L. 16 SHAW, on this occasion. In asking 17 MR. SHAW for his identification, he 18 was told by the defendant, that 19 he (SHAW) was from the International 20 Trade Mart in New Orleans, Louisiana. The State will introduce a witness who 21 22 will identify LEE HARVEY OSWALD as the person he talked to in the 23 24 Registrar's Office and who will also 25 identify the defendant, CLAY SHAW,

and DAVID W. FERRIE as the two men seated in the black Cadillac that brought LEE HARVEY OSWALD to Clinton, Louisiana.

The State will also introduce into evidence a photograph of a black Cadillad car that the witnesses will identify as either the same car or one identical to the one that they saw in Clinton that day.

The evidence will show that in the month of September, 1963, the defendant, CLAY SHAW, DAVID FERRIE and LEE HARVEY OSWALD participated in a meeting in which plans for the murder of President JOHN F. KENNEDY were discussed and refined. This meeting took place in DAVID FERRIE's apartment at 3330 Louisiana Avenue Parkway in the City of New Orleans. SHAW (using the name of CLEM BERTRAND) FERRIE and OSWALD (using the first name of LEON), discussed details of the conspiracy in the presence of PERRY RAYMOND RUSSO, after FERRIE

5

4

1

2

3

6 7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

20

23

24

gave assurance that RUSSO was all right.

The plan brought forth was that the President would be killed with a triangulation of cross fire with at least two gunmen, but preferably three, shooting at the same time. One of the gunmen, it was indicated, might have to be sacrificed as a scapegoat or patsy to allow the other participants time to make their escape. No one indicated to OSWALD at the meeting that he was going to be the scapegoat and there was no indication of any awareness on his part of such an eventuality. They also discussed alternate routes of escape, including the possibility of flying to other countries. defendant and DAVID FERRIE agreed that as part of the plan they would make sure they were not at the scene. of the assassination. Their plan for the day of the shooting was to be engaged in a conspicuous activity in the

23

24

presence of as many people as possible. The defendant, SHAW, stated he would go to the West Coast of the United States. FERRIE, not as positive about his alibi, said he thought he might make a speech at a college in Hammond, Louisiana. As the State will show, SHAW made his way to the West Coast and FERRIE, after his long drive back from Texas, made his way to Hammond, Louisiana, where he slept, not in a hotel room, but on a bed in a college dormitory.

by a month after the meeting, LEE OSWALD had moved into a rooming house in Dallas under an assumed name. By the following month when the time for the President's parade arrived,

OSWALD was on the parade route at the Texas School Book Depository,

where a job had been found for him.

By the night of Friday, November 22nd the President was dead, FERRIE was driving through a thunderstorm to Houston, Texas and the defendant,

SHAW, was out on the West Coast.

LEE OSWALD, however, was in a Dallas

jail ending up as the scapegoat.

As to the planning -- the conspiracy -our jurisdiction is limited to New
Orleans, although we will later offe
evidence concerning the assassination
in Dealey Plaza in Dallas --

## MR. DYMOND:

Excuse me. If the Court please, we object to this.

#### THE COURT:

On what ground?

#### MR. DYMOND:

The Court has repeatedly ruled that the actual assassination in Dallas has no place in this case, that there may have been 50 conspiracies, as your Honor put it, to assassinate president Kennedy. A conspiracy within this jurisdiction is alleged the overt acts have been alleged.

The State is certainly bound by the answer to the application for a bill of particulars as to overt acts.

effect that when the State answers

an application for a bill of particulars setting forth certain particulars in connection with an alleged crime, that the State is bound by this answer for an application, cannot go outside the scope --

#### THE COURT:

I will be glad to hear from you, Mr. Alcock.

#### MR. ALCOCK:

The State is bound, and the State -- and Mr. Dymond knows that, we have argued this point many times in the picking of this Jury -- the State is as a matter of fact bound by its answers to the bill of particulars. The State must prove one or more of those overt acts, but, as this Court rightly points out, the State may overprove its case all it wants to. If it underproves its case it is out of court, but if it overproves it, that is its own wishes and its own will.

Additionally, these facts are certainly

corroborative of a conspiracy which talked of triangulation of cross fire, o a scapegoat and of a patsy. facts are purely corroborative, and I would cite to the Court State vs. Kelly, a Louisiana case which may be found at 112 so. 2d 694.

There is no dispute between myself and Mr. Dymond as to the State being bound on the answers to the bill of particulars. This Court has no dispute with that, as I appreciate its comments during the picking of the Jury. The sole issue is are we going: to be circumscribed by Mr. Dymond's wishes or are we going to be allowed to prove our case, and, if we want to overprove it, to overprove it, and I think the Court has properly ruled that this area may be gone into as one to be corroborative, and, No. 2, if the State wishes to overprove its 🕏 .case, it may.

MR. DYMOND:

If the Court please, I am not asking that

23

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

..25

the State be circumscribed by my wishes, I am asking that they be circumscribed by the law. Your Honor stated from this bench during the voir dire that the State is definitely bound and restricted by the answer to the application for particulars. Your Honor would have to make a 180-degree turn on your rulings on the voir dire to the effect that we could not even go into the question of what prospective jurors felt about what happened in Dallas, whether president Kennedy was killed as a result of a conspiracy.

## MR. ALCOCK:

Your Honor, I can remember vividly Mr.

Dymond on at least two occasions,

perhaps more, asking this Court point
blank and directly, are you going to

deny the State the right to go into

Dallas? And this Court repeatedly e

said, "I cannot do such a thing. We

will cross that bridge when we come

to it. If the State wants to over-

prove its case, the State may overprove its case. The Court has consistently held that, contrary to what Mr. Dymond is now saying.

#### MR. DYMOND:

6

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your Honor, unfortunately, there was one bridge we had to cross before we came to it, and that was the selection of a jury, and your Honor would not permit us to go into Dallas at all on the voir dire, and I submit that that ruling is absolutely contrary to any contention at this time that the State has a right in their evidence to go into this question.

## THE COURT:

I don't know if you cited the d'Ingianni

Case, but I tried the d'Ingianni Case

and I remember specifically the

Supreme Court stating although the

State is limited in its proof, if the

State were to prove, say, nine other

overt acts but did not prove one of

the six, I would have to grant you a

directed verdict, but I will agree with the State's position that they can corroborate their evidence, and I therefore overrule your objections. MR. DYMOND:

> To which ruling Counsel reserves a bill of exception, making the opening statement being made by Mr. Garrison, Counsel's objections to the content of the opening statement, together with the reasons therefor and the ruling part of the bill.

THE COURT:

Your point is, "As to the planning -- " MR. GARRISON:

> As to the planning -- the conspiracy -our jurisdiction is limited to New Orleans, although we will later offer evidence concerning the assassination in Dealey Plaza in Dallas because it confirms the existence of a conspiracy and because it confirms the significance and relevance of the planning which occurred in New Orleans.

2

3

ence copy, JFK Collection: Above

at DAVID FERRIE's apartmentwishortly before the principal meeting between the named conspirators took place. At this meeting OSWALD, who was cleaning a bolt-action rifle with a telescopic sight, was introduced to RUSSO by FERRIE as LEON. -PERRY-RUSSO saw LEE HARVEY OSWALD at FERRIE's apartment at least once after the meeting of the conspirators. On this occasion OSWALD appeared to be having some difficulty with his wife and he gave RUSSO the impression he was leaving town.

RUSSO also had seen the defendant, SHAW, once before the meeting. This was at the Nashville Street Wharf at the time PRESIDENT KENNEDY was speakingthere in the Spring of 1962. defendant, SHAW, also was seen by RUSSO with DAVID FERRIE subsequent to the assassination at FERRIE's service station in Jefferson parish. The State will also introduce other evidence to show that CLAY SHAW.

HARVEY OSWALD and DAVID FERRIE knew each other.

RUSSO, the State will introduce into evidence pictures of the defendant,

DAVID FERRIE and LEE HARVEY OSWALD,

as well as pictures of the exterior and interior of DAVID FERRIE's apartment at 3330 Louisiana Avenue Parkway, and other corroborating evidence.

In connection with photographic evidence the State will qualify PETER SCHUSTER of the Orleans Parish Coroner's Officas an expert in the field of photography.

The evidence will further show that the defendant in accordance with the plant and in furtherance of it, did in fact head for the West Coast of the United States -- ostensibly to make a speech -- on November 15, 1963. He remained there until after PRESIDENT KENNEDY's assassination on November 22, 1963, thereby establish-

1	ing an alibi for himself for the day	2
. 2	of the shooting.	
3	The State will offer into evidence a	
4	leager sheet of travel consultants	
5	and testimony which reflects the	
6	arrangements made by the defendant,	
7 ,	SHAW, to-go to the West Coast. This	
8	travel consultant firm which in	٠.
9	1963 was located in the Internationa!	-
10	Trade Mart was the same firm which	ę\$ ( <b>9</b> ).
11	arranged for LEE OSWALD to go to	
12	Europe, from which he went to Russia,	Refe
13	several years earlier.	renc
14	The State will show that FERRIE drove to	doo e
15 '	Houston on the day of the assassina	γ, σε
16	tion, departing from New Orleans on	FK Co
17	the evening of November 22nd some	llect
18	hours after the President was killed	ion:
19	and two days before LEE OSWALD was	HSC
20	killed. FERRIE drove, with two	CA (RG
21	young companions, through a severe	3 233)
22	storm for the ostensible purpose of	~
23	going ice skating in Houston. Upon	
24	arriving in Houston, FERRIE and his	
25	companions went to the Winterland	

Skating Rink where FERRIE loudly and repeatedly introduced himself to 2 the manager of the rink. Despite 3 the fact that he had driven all the way from New Orleans to Houston for the purpose of ice skating, DAVID 6 FERRIE never put on any ice skates 7 at all. While his young friends skated, FERRIE stood by the public 9 pay phone as if waiting for a call: 10 . The evidence will furhter show that 11 earlier, after LEE OSWALD's departur 12 from New Orleans, he took a short 13 trip to Mexico and then made his way 14 to Dallas. On October 14, 1963, he 15 rented a room at 1026 N. Beckley 16 Street under the fictitious name of 17 O. H. LEE. Two days later he went 13 to work at the Texas School Book 19 Depository, which was located at the 20 intersection of Houston and Elm Streets in Dallas, Texas. At the Book Depository, BUELL WESLEY FRAZIER was employed in the order filling department. FRAZIER lived \_25

the FRAZIER Texas Ω. rove School OSWALD Book Depository. from Irving 40

in inquireā OSWALD had brown wrapping Ω Ω with him to its paper. contents, ρ package When FRAZIER wrappe he ...

the package contained the curtain

will

testify,

OSWALD

replied

that

roas he had returned home 40 pick

o, u further the night testify that before. FRAZIER will

OSWALD told him

would not эd returning

Irving that night, Friday, November

22

BUELL FRAZIER will testify that he ente

the Texas School Book Depository

building behinā 口田田 that morning OSWALD. OSWALD about wa s 50

testify carrying that the package. he saw OSWALD FRAZIER will മ couple

0 times that morning, but never

that package а́аУ, FRAZIER again. went Around ф ф the noon of front

steps 0 H the Texas School Book

Depository to watch the presidentia

motorcade which was due to pass directly in front of the Book Depository as it made its turn off Houston Street onto Elm Street. While the motorcade was passing, FRAZIER heard three shots which sounded like they came from the area. 7 of the underpass -- near the grassy. knoll -- in front of the President At the conclusion of FRAZIER's testimony 10 the State will introduce into evidence pictures of a paper sack found in the Texas School Book Depository, as well as pictures of 14 Dealey Plaza as it appeared on t day of the assassination. 16 With regard to the assassination itsel 17 18 the State will establish that November 22, 1963, President JOHN 20 KENNEDY and Governor JOHN-CONNALL who was riding in the same limousin 21 were wounded as a result of guns 22 fired by different guns at differ locations. Furthermore, will show that PRESIDENT KENNED

at different locations -- thus showing that more than one person was shooting at the President. The . . . ..... evidence will show that he was struck in the front as well as the back -and that the final shot which struck him came from in front of him, knock ing him backwards in his car. again, since LEE OSWALD was in the Book Depository behind the President this will show that a number of men were shooting and that he was, therefore, killed as the result of conspiracy. The State, in showing that a number of guns were fired during the assassina tion of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, .. will offer, in addition to eyewitnesses, various photographs and motion pictures of what transpired in Dealey Plaza on November 22, 1963.

First, the State will offer an 8mm color

motion picture film taken by Abraham

self was struck by a number of

bullets coming from different guns

βŀ.

SHAW as an expert in the medicine, and in connect this testimony we will offer and medical records conc 5 GOVERNOR CONNALLY'S Wounds ment at Parkland Memorial Hospit in Dallas, Texas. The State will qualify and offer th 9 mony of DR. JOHN NICHOLS, a medica. 10 expert in the field of forensic 11 medicine and pathology. In connec 12 tion with his testimony the Sta 13 will offer certain exhibits 14 and photographs into evidence Furthermore, during the presentation of this case, the State will guadley and offer the testimony of Special Agent ROBERT A. FRAZIER OF COLUMN 18 Federal Bureau of Investigation an expert in the field of ballet : Special Agent LYNDAL SHANEYER 21 is a photographic expert with 300 22 Federal Bureau of Investigation, 23 be qualified and will testing 24 The State also will present eyewith 25

testimony, corroborating shown in the Zapruder fil the President's fatal shot ceived from the front and that he was thrown backward -- not forward -from the force of this tfatal Tsh The eyewitness testimony\_will=also show that the shooting came from a number of directions and that, therefore, the President was murdered not by a lone individual-behind him but as the result of a conspiracy-to kill him. We will then show that a few minutes aft 15 the shooting LEE OSWALD came running 16 down the grass in front of the Book Depository, that he climbed into a station wagon with another manuat the wheel and that this station wagon 20 pulled away and disappeared into the traffic on Elm Street. The evidence will further show that shor ly after the assassination of PRESIDENT KENNEDY, on November 25 1963, agents of the Federal Burea

ĺ

1313 Dauphine Street to 1414 Chartres Street, the residence of a long-time friend, JEFF BIDDISON. This change of address order was terminated on September 21, 1966. During the period that the change of address remained in effect, the U.S. Post Office letter carrier for that route delivered at least five letters to 1414 Chartres Street addressed to "CLEM BERTRAND," the name used by the defendant at the meeting between himself, DAVID FERRIE and LEE HARVEY OSWALD in FERRIE's apartment in mid-September, 1963. None of the letters addressed to "CLEM BERTRAND" were ever returned to the postal authorities for any reason. The period during which these letters addressed to "CLEM BERTRAND" were delivered to 1414 Chartres Street preceded by at least six months the publication of the fact that the Orleans Parish District Attorney's Office was investigating the