Date: 08/13/93

Page:1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : HSCA

RECORD NUMBER: 180-10093-10411

RECORDS SERIES:

NUMBERED FILES

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 002051

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR: NODA

FROM:

TO:

TITLE :

DATE: 02/28/69

PAGES: 21

SUBJECTS:

SHAW, CLAY

STATE OF LOUISIANA VS CLAY L. SHAW

GARRISON INVESTIGATION

GARRISON, JIM

DOCUMENT TYPE : TRANSCRIPT

CLASSIFICATION : U

RESTRICTIONS : OPEN IN FULL

CURRENT STATUS : 0

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 08/03/93

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

Box 46.

CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT

PARISH OF ORLEANS

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

198-059

VS.

14:26 (30)

CLAY L. SHAW

Section "C"

PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT OF FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1969

JIM GARRISON'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY, JR.,

JUDGE, SECTION "C"

Dietrich & Pickett, Inc. Stenotypists

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 - 522-3111

	1
Routing Slip NO.	
DATE 8/15/77 Document I.D. Show rial Proceedings Vol. 47	Е
INDEX COPY TO Robert Blakey Gary Cornwell Kenneth Klein Charlie Mathews	-
Jim Wolf Donovan Gay Jackie Hess Cliff Fenton	- -
Team #1	-
Team #2	•
Team #3	
Team #4	
Team#5	

THE COURT:

Do I understand, Mr. Garrison, you wish to address the Jury?

MR. GARRISON:

Yes.

May it please the Court:

Gentlemen of the Jury, I'm not going to dignify Mr. Dymond's personal inferences about my staff, because I think you've seen them for some days, and I think you've seen me here, and I'll leave it to your judgment whether or not we would take advantage of any human being in order to try and get any gain of any sort; and I'll address myself to the remaining issues of the case which have been posed by Mr. Dymond.

Now, I know you're very tired. You've been very patient. This final day has been a long day, so I'll speak only a few minutes, and I'll probably make one of the shortest closing arguments that's been made in this Court, because I think most of

l

24

25

I feel that you probably have an understanding of the case by now.

- Mr. Dymond posed, in his last argument,
 one final issue which in a sense
 raises a question of what we do when
 the need for justice is confronted
 by power.
- So, let me talk to you about whether there is Government fraud in this case. Now, a Government is a great deal like a human being; it's not necessarily all good, and it's not necessarily all bad. We live in a good country, and I love it, and you do, too. But, we have, nevertheless, a Government which is not perfect, and there have been indications since November the 22nd of 1963, and that was not the last indication, that there is excessive power in some areas of our Government -- that the people have not received all of the truth about some of the things that have happened; some of the

assassinations that have occurred; and particularly with regard to the assassination of John Kennedy.

Going back to when we were children, I think most of us, probably all of us here in this Courtroom, thought that Justice came into being automatically; that virtue was its own reward; and good would triumph over evil; that it occurred automatically. Later, when we found that it wasn't quite so, most of us felt that, hopefully, that at least Justice occurred frequently of its own accord, but now I think that almost all of us would have to agree that there's really no automatic machinery not on this earth at least, which causes Justice to happen automatically. Men have to make it Individual human beings have to make it occur; otherwise, it doesn't come into existence, and this is not always easy. As a matter of fact, it's always hard, because

1

7

4

/

4

'1

H

lη

1 1

11

1 1

1.1

· w

: •

'

"

•

. .

4

`

er;
me
ght
I

Mr. Dymond raised the question: Why don't we say it's a fraud, and charge the Government with fraud, if this is the case?

Well, then, let me be explicit and make myself very clear on this point.

The Government's handling of the investigation of John Kennedy's murder was a fraud. It was the greatest fraud in the history of our country. It was probably the greatest fraud ever perpetrated in the history of humankind.

So, that's where I stand on that point.

But that doesn't mean that we have

to accept the continued existence

of the kind of Government which

allows this to happen. We can do

something about it. We're not

forced to either leave this country,

or accept the authoritarianism that's

22

23

24

25

developed, which tells us that in the 5 year 2039 we can see the evidence about what happened to John Kennedy. Government does not consist only of secret police and domestic espionage operations and Generals and Admirals -- Justice consists of people. The Government consists of people, and our Government consists of juries; and cases of murder, whether of the poorest individual, or the most distinguished citizen in the land, should be looked at openly in a court of law, where juries can pass on them, and not hidden; not buried like the body of the victim, beneath concrete for 75 years.

Now, you men in the recent weeks, have heard witnesses that no one else in the world has heard, and you've seen the Zapruder film. You've seen what happened to your President, and I suggest to you that most of you know right now that in that area at

least, a fraud has been perpetrated. That does not mean that our Government is entirely bad; and I want to emphasize that. It doesn't mean that the President is bad. It doesn't mean that the Supreme Court is bad. It does mean that in recent years, through the development of excessive power, because of the cold war, forces have developed in our Government over which there is no control, and these forces have an authoritarian approach to Justice, meaning, they will let you know what Justice is.

Well, my reply to them, is we already know what it is. It is the Jury System.

In the issue which is posed by the Government's conduct in concealing the evidence in this case. In the issue of humanity as opposed to power, I have chosen humanity, and I will do it without any hesitation, and I hope every one of you will do the same, and I do that because I love my country,

19

1

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

and I want to communicate to the

Government that we will not accept

unexplained assassinations, with the

casual information that if we live

75 years longer we may be given more

data.

In this particular case, our efforts to look into it, and it was our duty when we found out that part of the assassination finally occurred in New Orleans, massive power was brought to bear to prevent Justice from ever coming into this Courtroom as it has. The power to make authoritative pronouncements; the power to manipulate the news media by the release of false information; the power to interfere with an honest inquiry; the power to provide an endless variety of experts to testify in behalf of power, was demonstrated in this case. The American people have yet to see the Zapruder film. The American people have yet to see and hear from witnesses about the

1

2

assassination. Why?

Because, today in our Government, we have a problem area in which too much emphasis is given to secrecy with regard to the assassination of our President, and not enough emphasis has been given to the question of Justice; to the question of humanity. These dignified deceptions will not suffice. We have had enough of power without truth. We don't have to accept power without truth, or leave the country. I don't accept that alternative. I don't intend to leave the country, and I don't intend to accept power without truth. I intend to fight for the truth, and I suggest that not only is this not un-American, but it is the most American thing we can do, because if the truth does not endure, then our country will not endure -- not in the way it was supposed to... In our country the worst of all crimes

is when the Government murders truth.

25

1

If it can murder truth, it can murder freedom. If it can murder freedom, it can murder your own sons, if they should dare to fight for freedom, and then announce that they were killed in an industrial accident, or shot by the enemy, or God knows what.

But, in this case, finally it has been possible to bring the truth about the assassination into a Court of Law; not before a Commission composed of important and powerful and politically astute men, but before a Jury of citizens.

Now, I suggest to you that yours is a hard duty, because in a sense what you're passing on is equivalent to a murder case. It has the same essential characteristics, and the difficult thing about passing on a murder case is that the victim is out of your sight, and buried a long distance away, and all you can see is the Defendant, and it's very difficult to

identify with someone you can't see; and nometimes it's hard not to identify to some extent with the Defendant and his problems.

In that regard, every Prosecutor who is at all humane, is conscious of feeling sorry for the Defendant in every case he prosecutes. But, he is not free to forget the victim who lies buried out of sight, and I suggest to you that if you do your duty, you also are not free to forget the victim who is buried out of sight.

You know, Tennyson once said that authority forgets a dying king. This was never more true than in the murder of John Kennedy -- the strange and deceptive conduct of the Government after his murder began while his body was warm, and has continued for five years. In a sense, you have even seen in this Courtroom indications of the interest of some part of the Government power structure, in keeping

the truth down; in keeping the grave closed.

We presented a number of eye witnesses, as well as an expert witness, as well as the Zapruder film, to show that the fatal wound of the President came from the front. A plane landed from Washington, and out stepped Dr. Finck for the Defense, to counter the clear and apparent evidence of a shot from the front. I don't have to go into Dr. Finck's testimony in detail for you to see that it simply did not correspond with the facts. He admitted that he did not complete the autopsy, because a General told him not to complete the autopsy. Now, in this conflict between power and

Justice -- to put it that way -- just where do you think Dr. Finck stands?

A General, who was not a Pathologist, told him not to complete the autopsy, so he didn't complete it. This is the way I don't want my country to be. When our President is killed,

79 71

1%

17

11

11

11

14

17

. .

13

11

he deserves the kind of autopsy that
the ordinary citizen gets every day
in the State of Louisiana. We can't
have Government power suddenly
interjecting itself and preventing
the truth from coming to the people;
but in this case, before the next
morning when the sun rose, power had
moved into the situation, and the
truth was being concealed. And,
five years later, in this Courtroom,
it's continuing in the same way.
We presented eye witnesses who told you

We presented eye witnesses who told you of the shots coming from the grassy knoll. A plane landed from Washington, and out came ballistics expert Frazier for the Defense.

MR. DYMOND:

Object to this, if the Court please.

Mr. Frazier was subpoenaed here as
a State witness.

THE COURT:

He testified for the Defense. He was called by the Defense, Mr. Dymond.

MR. DYMOND:

He was subpoenaed here from Washington as a State witness.

THE COURT:

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Makes no difference who subpoenaed him; it's who put him on the stand.

MR. DYMOND:

We didn't have anything to do with his coming here on a plane from Washington.

MR. GARRISON:

Now, the issue I'm getting to, as I'm sure every one of you understands, is whether or not the Government has created a fraud, and I call to your attention that Mr. Frazier's explanation of the sound of shots coming from the front, which was heard by eye witness after eye witness and after eye witness -- his explanation is that Lee Oswald created a sonic boom in his firing. Not only did Oswald break all of the world's records for marksmanship, but he broke the sound barrier as well.

And I suggest to you, that if any of you 1 have shot on a firing range, and 2 most of you probably have in the 3 Service, you were shooting rifles in which the bullet traveled faster 5 than the speed of sound, and I ask 6 you to recall if you ever heard a 7 If you remember when sonic boom. 8 you were on the firing line, and 9 they'd say, "Ready on the left; 10 ready on the right; ready on the 11 firing line; commence firing; " you 12 heard the shots coming from the 13 firing line, to the left of you and 14 to the right of you, and if you had 15 heard, as a result of Frazier's 16 fictional sonic booms, firing coming 17 at you from the pits, you would have 18 had a reaction, and you would still 19 It simply doesn't exist. 20 remember. It's a part of the fraud -- a part 21 of the Government fraud -- and the 22 best way to make this country the 23 kind of country it's supposed to be, 24 is to communicate to the Government 25

that no matter how powerful it may be, we do not accept fraud. We do not accept false announcements. We do not accept the concealment of evidence with regard to the murder of President Kennedy.

Who is the most believable -- a Richard

Randolph Carr, seated here in a

wheelchair, and telling you what he
saw and what he heard, and how he
was told to shut his mouth -- or
Mr. Frazier, with his sonic booms?

Do we have to actually reject Mr. Newman
and Mrs. Newman and Mr. Carr and
Roger Craig, and the testimony of
all those honest witnesses -reject that and accept the fraudulent
Warren Commission, or else leave the
country?

I suggest to you that there are other

alternatives; and one of them has

been put in practice in the last

month in the State of Louisiana;

and that is to bring out the truth

in a proceeding where attorneys can

l

cross-examine; where the Defendant can be confronted by testimony against him; where the rules of evidence are applied, and where a Jury of citizens can pass on it, and where there is no Government secrecy.

Where you do not have evidence concealed for 75 years in the name of National security.

All we have in this case are the facts -facts which show that the Defendant
participated in the conspiracy to
kill the President, and that the
President was subsequently killed in
an ambush.

The reply of the Defense has been the

same as the early reply of the Government in the Warren Commission; has
been authority, authority. The

President's Seal outside of the

volume of the -- each volume of the

Warren Commission -- made necessary

because there's nothing inside of

these volumes. Men of high position
and prestige sitting on a Board, and

announcing the results to you, but not telling you what the evidence is, because that has to be hidden for 75 years.

You heard in this Courtroom in recent weeks, eye witness after eye witness after eye witness, and, above all, you saw an eye witness which was indifferent to power -- the Zapruder The lens of the camera is film. indifferent to power, and it tells what happened, and that is one of the reasons 200,000,000 Americans have not seen the Zapruder film. should have seen it many times. should know exactly what happened. They should know what you know now. Why hasn't this come into being, if there hasn't been Government fraud? Of course, there has. But, I'm telling you that I think we can do something about it. I think that there's still enough Americans left in this country to make it continue I think that we can to be America.

still fight authoritarianism -- the Government's insistence on secrecy; Government force used in counterattacks against an honest inquiry -and when we do that, we're not being un-American -- we're being American, because it isn't easy, and you're sticking your neck out in a rather permanent way, but it has to be done, because truth does not come into being automatically. Justice does not happen automatically. Individual men, like the members of my staff here, have to work and fight to make it happen, and individual men like you have to make Justice come into being, because otherwise it doesn't happen.

And, what I'm trying to tell you is that
there are forces in America today,
unfortunately, which are not in favor
of the truth coming out about John
Kennedy's assassination. As long as
our Government continues to be like
that; as long as such forces can get

I

19

17

18

21

20

22

23

24

away	with	these	kind o	f act	tions,
then	this	is no	longer	the	country
in wl	nich v	ve wer	e born.		

The murder of John Kennedy was probably

the most terrible moment in the

history of our country. Yet,

circumstances have placed you in the

position where not only have you

seen the hidden evidence, but you

are actually going to have the

opportunity to bring Justice into the

picture for the first time.

Now, you are here sitting in judgment on Clay Shaw; but you, as men, represent more than jurors in an ordinary case, because of the victim in this case. You represent, in a sense, the hope of humanity against Government power. You represent humanity which yet may triumph over excessive Government power, if you will cause it to be so, in the course of doing your duty in this case.

I suggest that you ask not what your country can do for you, but what you

can do for your country. 1 What can you do for your country? 2 can cause Justice to happen, for the first time in this matter. You can help make our country better by 5 showing that this is still a 6 Government of the people; and if you 7 do that, as long as you live nothing 8 will ever be more important than 9 that. 10 Thank you. 11 12 13 ...000... 14 I, Eileen T. Hebert, transcribed the above 15 from the tape recording of the proceedings, this 16 date, New Orleans, Louisiana. 17 18 5 March 1969 19 20 21 22 23